

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76378
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving the effectiveness of therapeutic measures for generalized periodontitis in patients with hypertension
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Where is the informed consent form? If participants did not sign, the study could not be conducted.</p> <p>Was any statistical analysis performed? If so they need to be detailed in the methodology. Even if it was just a descriptive analysis.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>How was the general health status of the participants assessed? If a questionnaire has been applied, it is important to bring it to the article, because the way it is is not clear.</p> <p>What are the characteristics of the participants? Gender, education level, previous illnesses, lifestyle habits. It is important to characterize the study population.</p> <p>The discussion needs to bring other studies carried out to compare with what you have done, if not, it is necessary to comment on the lack of other studies in this line. I don't think that was done.</p> <p>It cannot be concluded that this treatment should be widely used in all patients who have chronic generalized periodontitis and background hypertension. It is a small sample, without the necessary statistical treatment necessary for generalization. I suggest changing the conclusion.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Luma de Oliveira Comini</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Federal University of Viçosa, Brazil</i></b>