

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76378
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving the effectiveness of therapeutic measures for generalized periodontitis in patients with hypertension
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	All the revision comments have been put in the main manuscript file as comments.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some minor revisions regarding language usage and editing. I strongly suggest that the manuscript needs professional English language editing.	
Optional/General comments	In general, although the idea and research topic might be of interest to some readers, serious shortcomings regarding baseline and after intervention measurements do exist. For example, using worldwide-accepted and well-known periodontal disease measurements such as probing pocket depth and attachment level as well as bleeding index are lacking. Instead, all the results are reported in a very overall and general manner with no attention to measuring mean and standard deviations. The discussion section is not complete since comparing the findings with other scientific literature is lacking and there is no interpretation about whatever authors have claimed as their major finding.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Authors are responsible for obtaining informed consent of all the patients participating in their research and indicate it in the methods section of their manuscript.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Golpar Radafshar
Department, University & Country	Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Iran