

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76317
Title of the Manuscript:	FREQUENCY OF OVERWEIGHT AMONG WOMEN OF OVARIAN ENLARGEMENT PRESENTED WITH PELVIC PAIN COMPLAINS
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What do you mean by “presented”? Maybe it is better to be written as follow: FREQUENCY OF OVERWEIGHT AMONG WOMEN OF OVARIAN ENLARGEMENT WITH PELVIC PAIN <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Note: lots of underdevelopment countries have no accessibility to full articles and they collect their data by reading abstracts. For the sake of knowledge improvement. Please present the data as much as possible at the abstract part. • Choose the keyword according to Mesh terms (e.g., Ultrasonography) <p>Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needs some grammatical check (some of them has been pointed out by text highlight colour and red font at the manuscript page) <p>Material method:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How did you calculate the sample size? • What is your sampling frame / strategy? • How about Confounders? (Relevant demographic information?) • Please mention the ethical code. • Severity of BMI? What do you mean? • Where did you find out about the Neoplastic enlargement? was it a self-report or it has been proven by the lab test, ultrasonographic finding?? • Analytic method has not been described!! (Please nominate that which kind of test did you use for a statistical analyse through SPSS). <p>Result: Result does not include major outcome It is insufficient according to the aim. we need some demographic data and maybe frequency in each category separately.</p> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please write the full abbreviation AMH for the first time...Anti Mullerian Hormone (AMH), and PCOs as well. • Please mention the limitation of the study or mention any point for the future study if applicable. • Please add the funding source or acknowledgment <p>Reference: Reference 2, 3, and 4 should be rectified according to the Journal guideline</p>	

Review Form 1.6

<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grammatical check is needed (e.g., Abstract, section of Method... “All the females presented with history of ovarian enlargement with pelvis pain and either of age were included” ... * a history. “Either of age were included” does not make a sense!!! <p><i>It is better to be written as follow:</i> All women with a history of ovarian enlargement with pelvic pain at any age were included.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SS at the end of abstract should be deleted <p>Manuscript should be proofread by native English speakers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Although the article is consistent with itself, the study does not add significant data to what already known on the topic of the obesity in relation to PCOs/ovarian enlargement with pelvic pain. There is not anything for me that stands out in the author statements that makes question the objectivity of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shiva Pourali Roubaneh
Department, University & Country	The University of Melbourne, Australia