

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76130
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS TOWARDS DISPOSAL OF UNUSED MEDICATION IN DISTRICT SHAHEED BENAZIR ABAD SINDH.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Title: it is interesting title • add background into abstract part of manuscript • please states place and duration of the study under methodology • Avoid the pronouns like.. we, from the manuscript as much as possible. • please mention type of sampling technique used in this finding • write the frequency with standard deviation • rewrite this sentence "In this study majority of the participants were male, were between 16 to 70 years with mean age of 30.26 years had at least Primary level of education" • What you mean "participants admitted" in the result of abstract. • Why the researcher recommend that the government should incorporate the harmful effects of improper disposal of unused medicines in curriculum at primary level of education. • please clearly show the significance of this finding for the scientific community • under method Part mention the following parts clearly <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ study area & period ✓ study design ✓ study population ✓ sample size determination & sampling technique ✓ data collection procedure ✓ study variables ✓ data quality assurance ✓ data analysis ✓ ethical consideration ✓ operational definition ✚ Why you have used reference for this sentence? "We assessed the practice and level of awareness about proper disposal of unused medicine of 400 participants through a well-designed questionnaire [9]." ✚ How you select 400 participants? ✚ Are you tool validated? If yes how? ✚ What are the components tools you have used for knowledge assessment? ✚ what you mean "Views for throwing UM into waste" do you mean perception towards throwing unused medicine ✚ How you have assessed the class of drug unused? Orally or observation? ✚ What you mean "Due to laziness"? under result part ✚ Please revise your discussion. Compare your result with similar finding across the world and justifies the similarity or difference? ✚ Is it rational for the government to add the guideline of disposing unused medicine in the curriculum of primary education level? Completely it is irrational because, in the primary educational level they do not know about medicine. ✚ write the reference with citation manager such as end note 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Desalegn Feyissa</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Mizan - Tepi University, Ethiopia</i>