

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76104
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The standard of English is poor and I have made many corrections which the authors should read and reflect upon and if they are happy with my corrections they should incorporate my corrections as well as answer all the items highlighted in red. The abstract needs to be re-written to provide educative information about the lessons to be learnt. If the idea is to say that radical surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy plus radical radiotherapy is effective in providing treatment of curative inject in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma, it should be clearly stated. The abstract as it was written is not good for publication but I have included suggestive additions that must be reflected upon. I have added some more KEY WORDS. Ideally the case should be illustrated with radiology Images and histopathology slides to demonstrate the histopathology examination and immunohistochemistry staining features of the tumour.</p> <p>Consent should be stated. Did the authors obtain consent from the patient to publish the article: This must be stated. Conflict of Interest must be included.</p> <p>Acknowledgements must be included to thank those who have helped the authors. It is not absolutely necessary for nursing care plan to be added; nevertheless, there is a reason why the authors included it so I would not say yes or no. The writing of the references must conform to one referencing style. A major revision is required but the authors should look at my suggestions. The style of writing does not conform to the general style of writing articles but it is acceptable to me but the authors should check their guidelines and journalistic style to see if they are satisfied. CONSENT IS VERY IMPORTANT SO SAY WHETHER YOU HAD CONSENT TO WRITE THE ARTICLE. YOU COULD CHANGE THE TITLE TO SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA OF THE LIP AND IF YOU WANT TO ADD LOCALLY ADVANCED YOU MAY DO SO.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The standard of English is poor and I have made many corrections which the authors should read and reflect upon and if they are happy with my corrections they should incorporate my corrections as well as answer all the items highlighted in red. The abstract needs to be re-written to provide educative information about the lessons to be learnt. If the idea is to say that radical surgery plus adjuvant chemotherapy plus radical radiotherapy is effective in providing treatment of curative inject in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma, it should be clearly stated. The abstract as it was written is not good for publication but I have included suggestive additions that must be reflected upon. I have added some more KEY WORDS. Ideally the case should be illustrated with radiology Images and histopathology slides to demonstrate the histopathology examination and immunohistochemistry staining features of the tumour.</p> <p>Consent should be stated. Did the authors obtain consent from the patient to publish the article: This must be stated. Conflict of Interest must be included.</p> <p>Acknowledgements must be included to thank those who have helped the authors. It is not absolutely necessary for nursing care plan to be added; nevertheless, there is a reason why the authors included it so I would not say yes or no. The writing of the references must conform to one referencing style. A major revision is required but the authors should look at my suggestions. The style of writing does not conform to the general style of writing articles but it is acceptable to me but the authors should check their guidelines and journalistic style to see if they are satisfied. CONSENT IS VERY IMPORTANT SO SAY WHETHER YOU HAD CONSENT TO WRITE THE ARTICLE&gt;</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>It is important to check if the authors had consent to write the article.</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anthony Kodzo-Grey Venyo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>North Manchester General Hospital, United Kingdom</b>