

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76081
Title of the Manuscript:	To assess the psychological impact (stress and anxiety) of social media on quarantine people related to covid 19.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The psychological impact (stress and anxiety) of social media on quarantine people related to covid 19 is an important issue and deserved attention.</p> <p>However, I would like to highlight</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no Literature Review section, there are a lot of articles regarding the psychological impact. 2. For example "The 2019 Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) has had a worldwide psychosocial impact due to public hysteria, economic burden, and financial losses, in addition to its high infectivity and death rates. The widespread fear of COVID-19, dubbed "corona mental illness," resulted in a slew of mental manifestations in people from all walks of life". The sources of information is very important that leads to current study. 3. Methodology section, researchers applied a non-experimental research design however, non-experimental research is based on the observation of phenomena in their natural environment. Researchers did mention the respondents are requested to complete a tool, perhaps should further elaborate the instruments that have been used in this study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Enhance the sources	
Optional/General comments	Good effort and interesting topic.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Seng Poh
Department, University & Country	Penang Matriculation College, Malaysia