

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76032
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Comparative Efficacy of Jyotishmati (Celastrus paniculatus Wild) and Yastimadhu (Glycyrrhiza glabra Linn) in Enhancing IQ and Memory of Different Prakriti School Going Children - A Study Protocol of Double Blind Randomized Clinical Trial
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This reviewer suggests that the placebo group is required to elucidate the useful effects of the two drugs. In addition, IQ rest is known to improve with repeated trials, so the placebo group may be also useful to show the effect of the repeated measurements.</p> <p>Statistical analysis is not satisfactory. The authors said that one of purposes of this study is to compare the drugs comparative the effect of the two drugs. Backgrounds of subjects (children) may be various, so univariant and multivariant analysis is appropriate. However, authors did not show any factors included in this analysis, for example sex, parents' academic background, family status (rich or poor) and etc, which may affect education of the subjects.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Yukio Hayashi</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Yoka Municipal Hospital, Japan</i></b>