

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76015
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness about early menarche and its associated problems among female medical students of a tertiary care hospital in Chennai, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Complete Manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present manuscript reports the awareness and knowledge about early menarche and its associated problems. The work demonstrates that knowledge about the consequences of early menarche contributes to the prevention of risk factors for early menarche. The results support the conclusions and the paper deserves to be published after minor corrections as follows:</p> <p>1) In materials and methods, the inclusion criteria for the selection of the participants' sample are not described. Better describe the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the sample. in addition, add a rationale for selecting medical students as study participants.</p> <p>2) In Graph 4, the presentation of data regarding knowledge about early menarche is not in accordance with what is described in the results. Better describe this result.</p> <p>3) What is missing from the introduction and discussion of the article is a paragraph that better emphasizes the relevance of the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1) In the introduction and discussion, there is information that is repeated. I suggest adding other information to discuss the study data.</p> <p>2) Inform the level of statistical significance. Describe the best statistical analysis.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lívia de Paulo Pereira
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Ceará, Brazil