

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76013
Title of the Manuscript:	TO ASSESS THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SELECTED BREATHING EXERCISES AMONG CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY DISEASE PATIENTS.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present manuscript assesses the breathing pattern of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients practicing pursed lip breathing exercise. I do not think the written manuscript by the authors is sufficient. When we look at the literature, there are many original studies, case studies and also reviews on this subject. However, the authors did not refer to most of these studies and did not provide information about these studies. Researchers should discuss how their own studies differ from these studies and indicate by referencing these studies. They should clearly state how their work will contribute to the literature.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In its current state, the level of English throughout the manuscript does not meet the required standard. The manuscript should be read and corrected by a colleague proficient in English before submission. There are many grammar errors and typo. 2. There are 21 references in the References section, but 9 references are seen in the manuscript. 3. As there are many studies on this subject, 21 references are not enough for this manuscript. The authors prominently included only a few studies in the manuscript and summarized only these studies. 4. There are errors in reference spellings 5. Highly similar sentences with literature (IAuthenticate) should be changed throughout the manuscript <p>Highly similiar with following manuscripts,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- Prevalence of Associated Factors of Depressive Symptoms among School Going Children. SB Dhobe, SS Gujar - Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences, 2021 2- Evidence underlying breathing retraining in people with stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease G Dechman, CR Wilson - Physical therapy, 2004 3- Health-related quality of life is related to COPD disease severity E Ståhl, A Lindberg, SA Jansson... - Health and quality ..., 2005 	
Minor REVISION comments		

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Nergiz Hacer Turgut</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Izmir Katip Çelebi University, Turkey</i>