

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76005
Title of the Manuscript:	TO ASSESS PREVALANCE OF VARIOUS FEEDING TECHNIQUES USED FOR CHILDREN WITH CLEFT LIP/PALATE PREVALENT IN SOUTHERN INDIA AND TO ASSESS THEIR EFFECTIVENESS IN IMPROVING ENERGY INTAKE AND GROWTH OF CHILDREN WITH CLEFT LIP/PALATE
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Article title:</b> The article title looks good, however there is need to streamline words to 15 for the topic looks busy. The topic should depict the nature of the study and this should be reflected in your study objectives.</p> <p><b>Abstract</b> The opening into the abstract needs revision, there is no need of stating the aim as the opening phrase for it will be captured under the study purpose within the body of the abstract and the study content</p> <p><b>Full Text Article</b> Introduction and Background Ideas and insights in the introduction and background are promising, however, the researcher has to spell out the global, regional and local burden of the phenomenon under study. What is the burden of cleft palate in relation to feeding techniques and methods? <b>Methodology:</b> Some terms under methodology need careful selection for they have an impact on the nature of study design, terms like effect as opposed to prevalence. In this case are you studying effect or prevalence? How the sample size was calculated based on what? State also inclusion and exclusion criteria <b>Data Collection</b> Data collection was well done. <b>Data Analysis:</b> <b>Results</b> Researcher to revisit labelling of figures and tables. <b>Discussion:</b> Discussion should be more synthesized rather than a narration of statements. What is the implication of concurring and contrary findings to your own, what could be the contributing factors with regards to variations in study findings? What is your judgement? You can benefit from the Toulmin method of discussing findings <b>References</b> Researcher to adhere to the referencing format recommended by the journal in question</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Author to attend to grammar and spellings	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Author to attend to grammar and punctuations	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gladys Mugdza
Department, University & Country	University of Zimbabwe, Zimbabwe