

## A Review on Cutaneous Leishmaniasis

### Abstract

Leishmaniasis is a vector-borne disease caused by flagellated protozoans belonging to the genus *Leishmania*. Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is a parasitic disease transmitted by sandflies called phlebotomine that causes a variety of skin lesions. It has a wide range of clinical manifestations that are influenced by a number of unknown parasite and host factors. The disease can take many forms, ranging from self-limited and even self-healing cutaneous manifestations to fatal systemic disease. The standard treatment is pentavalent antimony. Pentavalent antimonials are the cornerstones of cutaneous leishmaniasis treatment, with novel oral and topical options on the horizon. Many lesions heal on their own and does not need to be treated. Antimonials are likely to cause a high number of reversible side effects. Other medications used in treatment include amphotericin B, pentamidine isethionate, paromomycin and antifungals. Although the cutaneous version of the disease is frequently self-limiting, it can leave considerable scarring and lead to more invasive mucocutaneous disease. As a result, treatment to prevent these problems may be considered. In endemic regions, leishmania parasites are frequently diagnosed clinically and, if possible, by microscopic inspection of lesion biopsy samples to visually confirm the aetiology. In non-endemic nations, the use of more advanced medical procedures that allow for species identification is mainly limited to research or therapeutic contexts. The application, use and adverse effects of drugs for systemic and topical treatment are also described.

**Keywords:** Cutaneous leishmaniasis, Diagnosis, Treatment, Pentavalent antimony compounds

**Comment [TN1]:** Please re-order keywords in alphabetic manner

## 1.Introduction

Leishmaniasis is one of the most complex vector-borne diseases caused by flagellated protozoans of the genus *Leishmania*.<sup>1</sup> The disease is found in 98 countries across Europe, Africa, Asia, and America and is most common in tropical and subtropical areas. However, more than 90% of new cases occur in just-nearly 13 countries. It is estimated that millions of people get to be infected each year, ~~but~~ however only a small percentage of them develop the disease and 20,000–30,000 eventually die.<sup>2</sup>

Leishmaniasis is caused by various species of leishmania, a protozoan flagellate with unicellular kinetoplasts.<sup>3</sup> The epidemiology and clinical features of the disease are highly variable due to the interaction of numerous factors in the parasites, vectors, hosts and environments involved. It manifests itself as a range of clinical syndromes, classified as cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) and visceral leishmaniasis (VL).<sup>4</sup> In CL, the parasites infect macrophages that live in the skin. When the host cell becomes infected with parasites, it bursts, releasing amastigotes that infect nearby macrophages. In VL, however, the released amastigotes are spread through the bloodstream and infect cells of the liver, spleen, bone marrow, lymph nodes, and intestine's mononuclear phagocyte system (reticuloendothelial system).<sup>2</sup>

Cutaneous Leishmaniasis CL is the most common form of leishmaniasis, with million new cases reported each year around the world. It is classified into three forms: localised cutaneous leishmaniasis (LCL), diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis (DCL), and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (MCL).<sup>5</sup> It can cause a wide range of cutaneous symptoms, ranging from small nodules and ulcers to extended plaques and disseminated forms.<sup>6</sup>

## 2.Epidemiology

Leishmaniasis is found in more than 80 countries across Africa, Asia, southern Europe, and Latin America.<sup>7,4</sup> There are an estimated 12 million cases worldwide, and the number is rising, millions of new cases reported each year. The current form of leishmaniasis is emerging due to changes in the environmental and vector habitats due to deforestation, urban development and civil conflicts.<sup>7</sup> Although epidemics of the potentially fatal visceral form cause thousands of deaths, the disease is best known for its cutaneous form, which causes nonfatal, disfiguring lesions.<sup>8</sup>

In established endemic areas, the prevalence of cutaneous leishmaniasis typically increases with age up to 15 years, after which it levels off, presumably due to the development of immunity.<sup>9</sup> The infection can cluster within households, owing to sandfly's short flight range, anthroponotic transmission, or genetic susceptibility.<sup>10,11</sup> Sex (e.g., sex bias usually points to behavioural patterns that increase vector exposure), age, construction material and household design, and the presence of domestic animals are all common risk factors for disease.<sup>12</sup>

CL is a disease that occurs in returning travellers in North America and Northern Europe, such as among rural studies, tourists, and the migrant community. Many infected persons are unfortunately unaware of their risks, do not take personal safety measures and experience late diagnosis and inappropriate treatment on return. The incidence of visceral disease is increasing in Southern Europe, with leishmaniasis at its end, usually in combination with HIV-1. Many of these patients are developing unusual cutaneous manifestations.<sup>13</sup>

Although CL is widespread on a global scale, it is often quite dominant at local level due to the specific habitat requirements of the sandfly vector and its reservoir hosts. It is usually a zoonotic disease with a wide range of mammalian reservoirs, but in epidemics and urban environments, especially in Sudan, Afghanistan, and India, it can become anthroponotic.<sup>14</sup> In recent years, CL has emerged as a leading cause of morbidity and social stigma in war-torn countries such as Afghanistan.<sup>14,15</sup>

### **3. Pathology**

The promastigote form of the parasite is a motile form with an anterior flagellum that develops in the sandfly, insect vector. Over the course of about ten days, the promastigote form transforms into a metacyclic infectious form. With the bite of a sandfly, the parasite enters the human host and is ingested by macrophages. Leishmania can survive the acidic lysosome environment and transform into amastigote forms. In humans, it is this amastigote is the form that causes disease and it can adversely affect cellular immunity. This form will eventually be picked up by a sandfly while feeding, and it will develop back into the promastigote form with in the insect.<sup>16</sup> Depending on parasite and host factors, the Leishmania parasites multiply and spread to other macrophages.<sup>4</sup>

The infection in CL is usually limited to the skin and lymphatic system, but in diffuse DCL, it can spread to deeper tissues or recur in the mouth, nose, or pharynx in MCL. The immune

response to CL is primarily mediated by T cells. In most cases, this results in a mixed inflammatory cell infiltrate at the infection site, with Leishman-Donovan bodies (amastigotes within macrophages) visible.<sup>4</sup> More chronic lesions with an intact immune response will have epithelioid granulomata and few parasites visible, whereas chronic lesions with a poor immune response will have a diffuse macrophage infiltrate and many parasites visible.<sup>17</sup> Predominant Th1 lymphocyte responses are related to greater outcomes than predominant Th2 lymphocyte responses, and are influenced by a number of well-known cytokines.<sup>18</sup>

#### 4. Clinical Traits:

Several Leishmania species can cause cutaneous leishmaniasis in humans, though most infections are likely asymptomatic.<sup>9</sup> In children and adults, multiple species cause cutaneous leishmaniasis, primarily *L. major*, *L. tropica*, and *L. (L) aethiopica*; *L. infantum* and *L. chagasi* and *L. mexicana*, *L. (L) amazonensis*, *L. braziliensis*, *L. (V) panamensis*, *L. (V) peruviana*.<sup>19</sup> After a bite from an infected sandfly, infection can develop to a papule that enlarges and ulcerates after a 12-week incubation period. Commonly occurring lesion is a painless ulcer with a necrotic base which is most often covered by an adherent crust of dried exudate.<sup>20</sup> The majority of patients have 1 or 2 lesions, typically in exposed areas, varying in diameter from 0.5 to 3 cm.<sup>21</sup> Generally, half of those *L. major* or *L. mexicana* lesions will be cured within 3 months, while *L. tropica* lesions take longer, about 10 months, and *L. braziliensis* lesions persist for much more time.<sup>22,23</sup>

CL is most commonly found on the exposed parts of the body, such as the face, neck, arms, and legs. Furthermore, lesions are painless unless secondary infected, and the subject may present with a single or multiple lesions.<sup>24</sup> Diffuse DCL is characterised by non-ulcerating lesions which spread to areas including the face and extending surfaces of the limbs locally and hematogenically, and which may also cause deep tissue destruction.<sup>25</sup> It can be transmitted, particularly in immunodeficient people.<sup>16</sup>

Co-infection of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) with CL has been linked to unusually severe symptoms, higher rates of recurrence and reinfection, and lower cure rates with standard treatments.<sup>26,27,28</sup> Unusual severity of CL has also been reported in accordance with other causes of immunosuppression, such as steroid treatment<sup>29</sup> or immunosuppressant treatment after organ transplantation.<sup>30</sup> Patients infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are highly susceptible.<sup>16</sup>

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [TN2]: Better to remove this sentence as this is mentioned in the first sentence of the same paragraph

CL complications include secondary infection and disfiguring scars. Secondary infections caused by skin commensals, coliforms, or invasive fungi should be treated as soon as possible to avoid prolonging the healing process. Because of the risk of local recurrence, disfiguring scars should not be considered for surgical revision until they have improved in appearance for at least 6 to 12 months.<sup>31</sup>

### 5. Diagnosis:

The diagnosis and treatment are interlinked because the stage of disease progression and the accuracy of the diagnosis have a significant impact on treatment efficacy. To reduce parasite transmission and CL increase, early and accurate diagnosis as well as effective patient management ~~are is~~ required.<sup>32</sup> The combination of clinical history, data on epidemiology and laboratory confirmation is used for diagnosis of CL. Many diagnostic tools have been developed for diagnosing CL with huge variations in diagnostic accuracy.<sup>24</sup> A clinically typical lesion, combined with an appropriate history of exposure, is frequently used to make the diagnosis.<sup>20</sup> Differential diagnosis is essential because ~~of the~~ diseases with similar clinical spectrums to leishmaniasis (e.g., leprosy, skin cancers, tuberculosis, cutaneous mycoses) are common in leishmaniasis-endemic areas.<sup>33</sup>

Due to its various clinical presentations, ~~cutaneous leishmaniasis CL~~ has a broad differential diagnosis.<sup>34</sup> The most of lesions appear within a few weeks of the sandfly bite, but they can take ~~up to~~ several months to appear. Certain entities ~~in for~~ the differential diagnosis ~~of CL~~ are mentioned in Table 1.<sup>35</sup> ~~They must choose one of the laboratory methods to confirm the diagnosis.~~<sup>36</sup>

**Comment [TN3]:** Not clear, better to remove or rewrite.

Table I. Differential diagnoses of cutaneous leishmaniasis

Sporotrichosis	Ecthyma
Blastomycosis	Malignancy
Atypical mycobacterial infection	Sarcoidosis
Cutaneous tuberculosis	Tularemia
Lupus vulgaris	Yaws
Insect bite reaction	Cutaneous anthrax

The most frequently used laboratory method, particularly in endemic areas, is the smear, an easy and inexpensive diagnostic tool.<sup>36</sup> The recent diagnostic tests are described in Table II.<sup>35</sup>

#### 5.1. Direct Microscopy, Histopathology, and Culture

The gold standard in leishmaniasis diagnosis has been and still is [parasitologic](#) parasitological diagnosis, due to its high specificity. This is usually done through histopathologic examination of fixed tissue or parasite in vitro culture from suspected lesions. CL is diagnosed microscopically by identifying amastigotes in Giemsa-stained lesion smears from biopsies, scrapings or impression smears. Amastigotes have round or oval bodies that are about 2–4 m in diameter and have distinct nuclei and kinetoplasts. The material from the ulcer margin yields the highest yield.<sup>37</sup> Cultures obtained from an exudate, fine-needle aspirate or scraping also yield positive results.<sup>36</sup> The press-imprint-smear method is a simplified collection method. When compared to histopathology for the diagnosis of CL, PIS was positive in higher percent of study cases suspected of having CL, while histopathology was positive in only less percent. PIS is regarded as a quick and relatively sensitive method for diagnosing CL.<sup>37</sup>

**Comment [TN4]:** This is wrong. It should be  $\mu\text{m}$ .

**Comment [TN5]:** Please give the long term of this abbreviation (Press-Imprint-Smear)

The culture of parasites in tubes containing Novy-MacNeal-Nicolle medium from suspected lesions is difficult, time-consuming, and requires significant technical expertise.<sup>37</sup> Culture has a low sensitivity and a wide range of variability. Mini- and micro-culture technologies, which have recently been developed, have the advantages of being less expensive due to the smaller volume of culture medium required, easier to use and more sensitive, even when parasite burdens are low. One disadvantage of micro-culture is that it does not allow ~~for~~ the identification of additional species.<sup>38</sup>

## 5.2. Leishmania Skin Test

The Leishmania intradermal skin test (LST), also known as the Montenegro skin test (MST), is a cellular immune response marker that is sometimes used to diagnose CL.<sup>37</sup> The LST is based on a delayed hypersensitivity response to total Leishmania promastigotes antigens.<sup>39</sup> Patients who have a negative LST but have other diagnostic confirmation tests are more likely to relapse or fail treatment. The LST or MST has several drawbacks, including the need for culture facilities to produce the MST antigen, the fact that different antigen preparations affect test sensitivity, and the fact that the test does not distinguish between past and present infections.<sup>37</sup> There is evidence that when LST data is combined with information on antigen-specific interferon-c (IFN-c) production, it may be easier to determine whether a suspected case has been exposed to Leishmania.<sup>40</sup> In contrast, it has been reported that the LST is significantly more sensitive than IFN-c levels in CL patients who have been cured.<sup>41</sup>

Histology: Scraping, punch biopsy specimen, or aspirate	Easier and most commonly used method; demonstration of amastigotes on smear or biopsy sample, or promastigotes in aspirate
Culture: On Schneider Drosophila or NNN mediums	Unreliable, as organisms are difficult to isolate especially if lesions are old; results may take 1-3 wks. depending on parasite load
Molecular techniques:PCR	Enables species identification; useful in monitoring patients after treatment; not widely available in endemic regions
Antigen detection (using monoclonal antibodies)	Not widely available, expensive
Leishmanin skin test (Montenegro skin test)	Relies on delayed-type hypersensitivity response after injection of dead promastigotes intradermally; may produce positive results in 3 mo after appearance of lesions; result is considered positive if induration of >5 mm develops after 48 h.
Serologic tests: ELISA, IFA, DAT, rK39 ELISA,	Serum antibody detection can be useful in diagnosing visceral leishmaniasis but is of no use in cutaneous disease

**Table II. Leishmaniasis diagnostic tests**

PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction

ELISA: Enzyme Linked Immuno Sorbant Assay

IFA: Indirect Immunofluorescence Assay

**Comment [TN6]:** Table heading should be at the top of the table

DAT: Direct Antiglobulin Test

rK39 ELISA: recombinant K39

### 5.3. ~~Immunologic~~Immunologic Diagnostic Methods

Antigens or anti-Leishmania antibodies found in serum or urine samples from patients are used to diagnose leishmaniasis.<sup>42</sup> The majority of current CL serologic tests are based on formats such as indirect fluorescent antibody, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), western blot, lateral flow assay, and direct agglutination test.<sup>43</sup> Cross-reactivity with other infectious diseases and false-positive results in some endemic areas are the major issues for immunological tests.<sup>39</sup> However, due to the poor humoral response elicited by the infection and the resulting low sensitivity, these formats are not widely used for the diagnosis of CL. Furthermore, the majority of currently available serologic tests are preliminary and rely on either a total parasite lysate or a whole promastigote, both of which result in atypical reactions.<sup>44</sup>

To overcome these problems, new immunological tests are being developed, such as chemiluminescent ELISA to measure anti-galactosyl antibodies or the CL Detect Rapid Test, which targets the parasite's peroxidoxin antigen. Different antigen detection ELISA tests have been developed, and in a preliminary study using samples from VL patients from various endemic regions, they showed high sensitivity and specificity, as well as utility in monitoring treatment efficacy.<sup>45</sup>

### 5.4. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

The widespread use of more sensitive molecular diagnostic tests has significantly changed sample collection, as well as the amount of time and reference laboratory support that were once standard.<sup>46</sup> PCR, in particular, has been widely used as a single test, in a nested format, or as a quantitative assay. Over the last few decades, lots of new tests targeting a variety of gene sequences have been developed, with the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer 1 sequence or sequences within the kinetoplast DNA of the Leishmania genus serving as the primary targets.<sup>37</sup> This is especially important in CL, where chronic lesions have lower parasite loads that microscopy cannot detect.<sup>45</sup>

The approaches are based on the parasite's nuclear and mitochondrial genomes' coding and non-coding regions.<sup>47</sup> The PCR product focuses on a particular amplification of the target DNA evaluated on a conventional agarose gel, followed by downstream analysis, such as using restriction endonucleases, hybridization, or DNA sequencing, or by detecting and analysing fluorescent signals during amplification in a real-time PCR apparatus.<sup>47,48</sup> Studies addressing inter-laboratory comparisons, in particular, are scarce, and the initiative by Cruz and colleagues, who proposed a protocol for inter-laboratory comparisons of conventional and real-time PCR methods, should be taken up.<sup>49</sup>

## 6. Treatment

Although ~~cutaneous leishmaniasis~~ CL is not fatal, it is treated to relieve the symptoms and prevent parasite spread (mucosal leishmaniasis) and relapse.<sup>9</sup> As a result, treatment to avoid these complications may be considered.<sup>50</sup>

The primary goal of CL therapy is to reduce morbidity.<sup>51</sup> The clinical type, the involved species of leishmania and the geography of infection should be considered in the treatment.<sup>36</sup> The majority of lesions heal slowly without treatment; however, treatment should be considered when lesions are painful to the patient, the lesion(s) are complex, or there is a risk of mucosal disease.<sup>51</sup> The majority of currently available therapeutic options have significant toxicity and side effects. As a result, each CL patient must undergo a risk-benefit analysis by an experienced clinician, and in mild and indolent cases, a wait-and-see policy may be the best option. Furthermore, drug resistance is becoming a problem in the treatment of CL.<sup>52</sup>

### 6.1. First-line therapy

Pentavalent antimony compounds (PACs)

These compounds are the gold standard for assessing the efficacy of new drugs because they are highly effective and the first-line treatment for most forms of leishmaniasis. Meglumine antimoniate and sodium stibogluconate are two PACs that are therapeutically equivalent. PACs are still the most toxic drug for most *Leishmania* species, considering up to 15% primary resistance being reported in different geographic regions.<sup>53</sup> Glucantime can be given intramuscularly or intralesionally (IL), while sodium stibogluconate can be given

**Comment [TN7]:** Is this a standard abbreviation? If not better to remove.

intravenously or intralesionally ~~(IL)~~.<sup>36</sup> For intramuscular administration, the recommended dose is 20 mg/kg/day for 10–20 days.<sup>54</sup> Treatment failure is associated to the use of these drugs at doses below therapeutic levels and for short periods of time.<sup>55</sup> Their biochemical mechanism of action is unknown, but it may involve ATP synthesis inhibition.<sup>56</sup>

## 6.2. Physical treatments

### Cryotherapy

Cryotherapy has only been used in the treatment of ~~cutaneous leishmaniasis~~CL in the Old World. In Turkey, for example, one session of cryotherapy with liquid nitrogen cured 90% patients being infected with *L. tropica*.<sup>57</sup> It can be used alone or in combination with intralesional or systemic PACs or paromomycin ointment to cure diseases. According to reports, combined therapies are more effective than cryotherapy alone.<sup>55</sup> A double freeze-thaw cycle of 10 to 25 seconds, with a 2-mm healthy area from the lesion border, is the most effective application method.<sup>58</sup> It is repeated two or three times in short intervals, leading to a total of 30-120 s. The whitening of the skin 2–3 mm outside the margins of the lesion indicates adequate application.<sup>59</sup> The main drawback of this treatment is its high relapse rate. Patients with darker skin may also experience permanent hypopigmentation.<sup>36</sup>

**Formatted:** Font: Italic

**Comment [TN8]:** Is this stand for seconds? If so, please use full word.

### Thermotherapy

Another option is thermotherapy, has been studied in various of CL species and with a variety of heat delivery methods.<sup>60</sup> It requires specialised equipment and local anaesthesia.<sup>46</sup> In vitro studies have shown that Leishmania parasites do not multiply at temperatures above 39 °C. Thermotherapy with radio-frequency waves has been the most extensively used.<sup>61</sup> Due to the high cost of the necessary devices and procedures, as well as the need for skilled health professionals to perform the treatment, this technique is not widely available.<sup>32</sup>

### Treatment during pregnancy and lactation

Larger CL lesions with different and/or exophytic clinical presentations are common during pregnancy. There is no cure for it during pregnancy, but it has been observed that a postpartum cure is complete with treatment.<sup>36</sup> Since there is insufficient information on the safety of PACs and other drugs in pregnant or lactating women, systemic or intralesional

anti-infective treatments are not advised. If treatment is required during this time, a physical method, such as cryotherapy or thermotherapy, can be used.<sup>53</sup>

### 6.3.Oral therapy

#### Azoles

Oral imidazoles are yet another controversial CL treatment that may be considered for use in complex lesions and those with the potential to progress to MCL.<sup>62</sup> Leishmania parasites may also be toxic to antifungal imidazole derivatives. The advantages are that it can be taken orally and it has fewer side effects.<sup>53</sup> Azoles block the Leishmania parasites from ergosterol synthesis. Several studies have used ketoconazole, itraconazole, and fluconazole to treat CL.<sup>62</sup>

#### Miltefosine

Miltefosine, a recent phosphocholine analogue, exhibited significant anti-leishmanial activity in vitro.<sup>50</sup> It has already been found to be as effective as PACs in treating Old World CL infections, particularly those caused by *L. major*.<sup>53</sup> Miltefosine is administered at an oral dose of 2.5 mg/kg/day for 28 days. Advantages of miltefosine over PA include oral administration and less severe side effects.<sup>63</sup> It has been shown to be teratogenic and should not be given to pregnant women. More controlled trials with different species are needed before miltefosine can be recommended as a routine treatment for [cutaneous leishmaniasisCL](#).<sup>50</sup>

Formatted: Font: Italic

### 6.4.Parenteral therapy

#### Pentamidine

Pentamidine is a possible approach to PA and can be used as a first-line therapy. Following PA treatment failure, it is frequently used as a second-line therapy.<sup>63</sup> It is toxic to a variety of protozoa and fungi, and the mechanism of action is unknown.<sup>50</sup> When pentamidine was given intravenously [\(IV\)](#), it had a higher efficacy than when it was given intramuscularly.<sup>51</sup> Before each injection, fasting glycemia, creatinine kinase, proteinuria and glycosuria in the urine, blood pressure, and heart rate must all be checked.<sup>36</sup> Pentamidine isethionate is also used to treat [cutaneous leishmaniasisCL](#), particularly when caused by *L. guyanensis*, which appears to be less sensitive to antimony.<sup>57</sup>

Comment [TN9]: No need, better to remove.

Formatted: Font: Italic

## Amphotericin B

Amphotericin B is an antifungal agent that is also effective against Leishmania species. It is commonly used in patients with PAC resistance or in the case of PAC contraindication. [Amphotericin B](#) is the only anti-Leishmania drug with no clinical resistance.<sup>64</sup> Amphotericin B, has a low therapeutic index, high acute toxicity, and must be administered via parenteral route. Liposomal amphotericin B, a new lipid-associated formulation of the original polyene amphotericin B, has been introduced to avoid these drawbacks. This formulation is better tolerated and has less infusion-related toxicity and nephrotoxicity than [Amphotericin B](#), while maintaining the same efficacy.<sup>65</sup>

## 7. Conclusion

[Cutaneous leishmaniasis](#) is now recognised as a complex and highly variable disease in terms of epidemiology, pathology, and clinical features. Clinically, CL is characterised by self-healing noduloulcerative lesions on exposed parts of the body, but its unusual manifestations can mimic many other skin diseases and cause medication error, major diagnostic delays, and complications. The management of patients can be significantly improved by developing better approaches to case diagnosis and treatment. As a result, efforts must be directed toward rational investment in new therapies and treatment strategies against the disease in order to find therapies with fewer side effects, lower costs, and greater efficacy against these parasites.

## Reference

1. Alvar J, Vélez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, Jannin J, den Boer M, WHO Leishmaniasis Control Team. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. PloS One. 2012 ; 31;7(5):e35671.
2. Steverding D. The history of leishmaniasis. Parasites Vectors. 2017 ;10(1):1-0.
3. Sharma U, Singh S. Immunobiology of leishmaniasis. [Indian J Exp Biol](#) 2009 Jun;47(6):412-23
4. Bailey MS, Lockwood DN. Cutaneous leishmaniasis. Clin. Dermatol. 2007 ;1;25(2):203-11.

**Comment [TN10]:** Please add complete reference.

**Comment [TN11]:** Check whether this is correct.

**Formatted:** Font: Not Bold

5. World Health Organization. Leishmaniasis. World Health Org Fact Sheet. 2016;375. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs375/en/>. Accessed 23 Aug 2016.
6. Van Bocxlaer K, Croft SL. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis—challenges and opportunities. *RSC Med. Chem.* 2021;12(4):472-82.
7. Ameen M. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: advances in disease pathogenesis, diagnostics and therapeutics. *Clin. Exp. Dermatol. CLIN EXP DERMATOL.* 2010 ; 35(7):699-705.
8. Ul Bari A. Epidemiology of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Pakistan Assoc Dermatol.* 2006;16:156-62.
9. Reithinger R, Dujardin JC, Louzir H, Pirmez C, Alexander B, Brooker S. Cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2007; 1;7(9):581-96.
10. Killick-Kendrick R. The biology and control of phlebotomine sandflies. *Clin Dermatol* 1999; 17: 279–89.
11. Castellucci L, Cheng LH, Araujo C, et al. Familial aggregation of mucosal leishmaniasis in northeast Brazil. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2005; 73: 69–73.
12. Yadon ZE, Rodrigues LC, Davies CR, Quigley MA. Indoor and peridomestic transmission of American cutaneous leishmaniasis in northwestern Argentina: a retrospective case-control study. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2003; 68: 519–26.
13. Hepburn NC. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: an overview. *J. Postgrad. Med.* 2003;1;49(1):50.
14. Reithinger R, Aadil K, Kolaczinski J, Mohsen M, Hami S. Social impact of leishmaniasis, Afghanistan. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2005; 11:634 - 6.
15. Reithinger R, Mohsen M, Wahid M, Bismullah M, Quinnell RJ, Davies CR, Kolaczinski J, David JR. Efficacy of thermotherapy to treat cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania tropica* in Kabul, Afghanistan: a randomized, controlled trial. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2005;15;40(8):1148-55.
16. Markle WH, Makhoul K. Cutaneous leishmaniasis recognition and treatment. *Am. Fam. Physician.* 2004 ; 15;69(6):1455-60.
17. Carlsen ED, Liang Y, Shelite TR, Walker DH, Melby PC, Soong L. Permissive and protective roles for neutrophils in leishmaniasis. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 2015;182(2):109-18.
18. Mehregan DR, Mehregan AH, Mehregan DA. Histologic diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Clin. Dermatol.* 1999 ; 1;17(3):297-304.
19. Murray HW, Berman JD, Davies CR, Saravia NG. Advances in leishmaniasis. *Lancet.* 2005; 29;366(9496):1561-77.

20. Hepburn NC. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: Clinical dermatology• Review article. Clin. Exp. Dermatol. 2000;25(5):363-70.
21. Hepburn NC, Tidman MJ, Hunter JA. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in British troops from Belize. Br. J. Dermatol. 1993;128(1):63-8.
22. Hepburn NC. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: an overview. J. Postgrad. Med. 2003; 1;49(1):50.
23. Herwaldt BL, Arana BA, Navin TR. The natural history of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Guatemala. J. Infect. Dis. 1992;1;165(3):518-27.
24. Abuzaid AA, Abdoon AM, Aldahan MA, Alzahrani AG, Alhakeem RF, Asiri AM, Alzahrani MH, Memish ZA. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in Saudi Arabia: a comprehensive overview. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 2017; 1;17(10):673-84.
25. Silveira FT, Lainson R, Corbett CEP. Clinical and immunopathological spectrum of American cutaneous leishmaniasis with special reference to the disease in Amazonian Brazil—a review. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz 2004;99:239 - 51.
26. Zijlstra EE, Musa AM, Khalil EA, El Hassan IM, El-Hassan AM. Post-kala-azar dermal leishmaniasis. Lancet Infect Dis. 2003 ; 1;3(2):87-98.
27. Schraner C, Hasse B, Hasse U, Baumann D, Faeh A, Burg G, Grimm F, Mathis A, Weber R, Günthard HF. Successful treatment with miltefosine of disseminated cutaneous leishmaniasis in a severely immunocompromised patient infected with HIV-1. Clin. Infect. Dis. 2005;15;40(12):e120-4.
28. Couppie P, Clyti E, Sobesky M, Bissuel F, Del Giudice P, Sainte-Marie D, Dedet JP, Carne B, Pradinaud R. Comparative study of cutaneous leishmaniasis in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected patients and non-HIV-infected patients in French Guiana. Br. J. Dermatol. 2004 ;151(6):1165-71.
29. Motta AC, Arruda D, Souza CS, Foss NT. Disseminated mucocutaneous leishmaniasis resulting from chronic use of corticosteroid. Int. J. Dermatol. 2003;42(9):703-6.
30. Gontijo CM, Pacheco RS, Oréface F, Lasmar E, Silva ES, Melo MN. Concurrent cutaneous, visceral and ocular leishmaniasis caused by Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis in a kidney transplant patient. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz. 2002 ; 97(5):751-3.
31. Wortmann GW, Aronson NE, Miller RS, Blazes D, Oster CN. Cutaneous leishmaniasis following local trauma: a clinical pearl. Clin Infect Dis 2000;31:199 - 201.
32. Gabriel Á, Valério-Bolas A, Palma-Marques J, Mourata-Gonçalves P, Ruas P, Dias-Guerreiro T, Santos-Gomes G. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: the complexity of host's effective immune response against a polymorphic parasitic disease. J. Immunol. Res. 2019.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

33. Escobar MA, Martinez F, Scott Smith D, Palma GI. American cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (tegumentary): a diagnostic challenge. *Trop Doct* 1992; 22: 69–78
34. Magill AJ. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in the returning traveler. *Infect Dis Clin North Am* 2005;19:241 - 66.
35. Mitropoulos P, Konidas P, Durkin-Konidas M. New World cutaneous leishmaniasis: updated review of current and future diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Acad Dermatol.* 2010;63(2):309-22.
36. Bilgic-Temel A, Murrell DF, Uzun S. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: a neglected disfiguring disease for women. *Int. J. Women's Dermatology.* 2019;5(3):158-65.
37. de Vries HJ, Reedijk SH, Schallig HD. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: recent developments in diagnosis and management. *Am. J. Clin. Dermatol.* 2015;16(2):99-109.
38. Pagheh A, Fakhar M, Mesgarian F, Gholami S, Ahmadpour E. An improved microculture method for diagnosis of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Parasit Dis.* 2014;1;38(4):347-51.
39. Reimão JQ, Coser EM, Lee MR, Coelho AC. Laboratory Diagnosis of Cutaneous and Visceral Leishmaniasis: Current and Future Methods. *Microorganisms.* 2020;8(11):1632.
40. Beattie L, Phillips R, Brown N, Owens BM, Chauhan N, Dalton JE, Kaye PM. Interferon regulatory factor 7 contributes to the control of *Leishmania donovani* in the mouse liver. *Infect. Immun.* 2011;79(3):1057-66.
41. Schnorr D, Muniz AC, Passos S, Guimaraes LH, Lago EL, Bacellar O, Glesby MJ, Carvalho EM. IFN- $\gamma$  production to leishmania antigen supplements the leishmania skin test in identifying exposure to *L. braziliensis* infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2012;20;6(12):e1947
42. Mirzaei, A.; Ahmadipour, F.; Cannel, A.; Marty, P.; Delaunay, P.; Perrin, P.; Dorkeld, F.; Sereno, D.; Akhoundi, M. Immunodetection and molecular determination of visceral and cutaneous *Leishmania* infection using patients' urine. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 2018, 63, 257–268.
43. Goto H, Lindoso JA. Current diagnosis and treatment of cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther.* 2010;1;8(4):419-33.
44. Maia Z, Lirio M, Mistro S, Mendes CM, Mehta SR, Badaro R. Comparative study of rK39 *Leishmania* antigen for serodiagnosis of visceral leishmaniasis: systematic review with meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2012;6(1):e1484.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

45. Kaye PM, Cruz I, Picado A, Van Bocxlaer K, Croft SL. Leishmaniasis immunopathology—impact on design and use of vaccines, diagnostics and drugs. *Semin Immunol.* 2020;(Vol. 42, No. 3, pp. 247-264). [Springer Berlin Heidelberg](#).
46. Deborgraeve S, Laurent T, Espinosa D, Van der Auwera G, Mbuchi M, Wasunna M, El-Safi S, Al-Basheer AA, Arévalo J, Miranda-Verástegui C, Leclipteux T. A simplified and standardized polymerase chain reaction format for the diagnosis of leishmaniasis. *J Infect Dis.* 2008 ;15;198(10):1565-72.
47. Van der Auwera, G.; Dujardin, J.C. Species typing in dermal leishmaniasis. *Clin Microbiol. Rev.* 2015,28, 265–294.
48. Nasereddin, A.; Bensoussan-Hermano, E.; Schönian, G.; Baneth, G.; Jaffe, C.L. Molecular diagnosis of Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis and species identification by use of a reverse line blot hybridization assay. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 2008, 46, 2848–2855.
49. Cruz I, Millet A, Carrillo E, Chenik M, Salotra P, Verma S, et al. An approach for interlaboratory comparison of conventional and real-time PCR assays for diagnosis of human leishmaniasis. *Exp Parasitol.* 2013;134(3):281–9.
50. Palumbo E. Current treatment for cutaneous leishmaniasis: a review. *Am J Ther.* 2009;1;16(2):178-82.
51. Aronson NE, Joya CA. Cutaneous leishmaniasis: updates in diagnosis and management. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* 2019;33(1):101-17.
52. Croft SL, Sundar S, Fairlamb AH. Drug resistance in leishmaniasis. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 2006;19(1):111-26.
53. Uzun S, Gürel MS, Durdu M, Akyol M, Fettahlioğlu Karaman B, Aksoy M, Aytekin S, Borlu M, İnan Doğan E, Doğramacı ÇA, Kapıcıoğlu Y. Clinical practice guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Turkey. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 2018;57(8):973-82.
54. Aoun K, Bouratbine A. Cutaneous leishmaniasis in North Africa: a review. *Parasite.* 2014;21.
55. Schubach A, Haddad F, Oliveira-Neto MP, et al. Detection of leishmania DNA by polymerase chain reaction in scars of treated human patients. *J Infect Dis* 1998; 178: 911–914
56. Herwaldt B, Berman D. Recommendations for treating leishmaniasis with sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam) and review of pertinent clinical studies. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 1992;46:296–306.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

57. Minodier P, Parola P. Cutaneous leishmaniasis treatment. *Travel Med Infect Dis.* 2007;1;5(3):150-8.
58. Khatami A, Firooz A, Gorouhi F, Dowlati Y. Treatment of acute Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis: a systematic review of the randomized controlled trials. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 2007;57(2):335-e1.
59. Gurei MS, Tatli N, Ozbilge H, et al. Efficacy of cryotherapy and intralesional pentostam in treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol.* 2000;30: 169–176.
60. Valencia BM, Miller D, Witzig RS, Boggild AK, Llanos-Cuentas A. Novel low-cost thermotherapy for cutaneous leishmaniasis in Peru. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2013;7(5):e2196.
61. Sundar S, Chakravarty J. An update on pharmacotherapy for leishmaniasis. *Expert Opin Pharmacother.* 2015;16(2):237-52.
62. Alrajhi AA, Ibrahim EA, De Vol EB, Khairat M, Faris RM, Maguire JH. Fluconazole for the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania major*. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:891 - 5.
63. David CV, Craft N. Cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. *Dermatol. Ther.* 2009;22(6):491-502.
64. Ghatee MA, Taylor WR, Karamian M. The geographical distribution of cutaneous leishmaniasis causative agents in Iran and its neighboring countries, a review. *Front. Public Health.* 2020;8:11.
65. Al-Natour SH. Update in the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *J. Fam. Community Med.* 2009;16(2):41.

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: Not Highlight