

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75854
Title of the Manuscript:	Protocol on to assess the effectiveness of planned teaching on knowledge regarding to adverse effect of antipsychotic agents among caregivers of schizophrenia patient
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Thanks the authors for conducting this study, and writing out the protocol. How is the sample size of 100 determined? Please list out the calculation to achieve adequate power for this study. Also, please list out the assumption behind the calculation, and the expected default rate (affecting post-test analysis), or rejection rate (on pre-test analysis)</p> <p>It would be better to list out the statistical tests to be used under the section of statistical analysis.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are some grammatical mistakes: Page 4 line 18, "used" instead of "use" for: A purposive sampling technique will be use. Line 21-23 should be 2 sentences instead of one, some punctuation marks are missing: Investigator will take permission from the concern authority of the selected hospital and then she will approach to the sample she will introduce herself and inform them about the nature of the study so as to ensure better co-operation during the data collection Page 5 line 2, 7th instead of 7" for: Post test will administer with the same questionnaire on 7" day. Page 7 line 15, "to" instead of "ti" should be used.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>References cited multiple internet resources e.g. wikipedia, instead of scientific publications.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunny, Chi Lik Au
Department, University & Country	Tung Wah Eastern Hospital, China