

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75854
Title of the Manuscript:	Protocol on to assess the effectiveness of planned teaching on knowledge regarding to adverse effect of antipsychotic agents among caregivers of schizophrenia patient
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this study, the reason for doing so is not well stated and does not use the available resources to explain it.</p> <p>In the inclusion criteria, it was better to take out some complicated and different antipsychotic drugs such as clozapine..., or to take the same class of antipsychotics that have the same education about side effects.</p> <p>In the exclusion criteria, it was better to take into account the influential variables in education such as intelligence, level of education, psychological condition of the patient caregiver, etc.</p> <p>The name of the software is not mentioned in the data analysis method.</p> <p>Statistical data and their effectiveness and confidence interval are not mentioned.</p> <p>In the discussion section, the effectiveness of this intervention is not well discussed and the reason for the usefulness of this study is not clear.</p> <p>In the references section, many more articles that have been worked in this area should be used.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruohollah Seddigh
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran