

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75850
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of lesions in bronchial brush and wash cytology
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The clinical work seems solid; however, the authors struggle with basic statistical concepts such as sensibility, specificity, accuracy and "diagnostic efficacy". These concepts are been used as synonymous without a statistic definition. They need clarification and uniformity.</p> <p>I recommend the study of articles like:</p> <p>Akobeng AK. Understanding diagnostic tests 1: sensitivity, specificity and predictive values. Acta Paediatr. 2007 Mar;96(3):338-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2006.00180.x. PMID: 17407452.</p> <p>There is a lot of undefined abbreviations. Mainly in the graphs. All abbreviations need definition.</p> <p>Figure 1 is not necessary. It may be eliminated.</p> <p>Figure 4 is providing contradictory information.</p> <p>There is a lot of typing errs that must be corrected</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Celso Eduardo Olivier</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Alergoimuno de Americana (Americana's Allergy and Immunology Institute), Brazil</i>