

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75831
Title of the Manuscript:	CYTOHISTOLOGICAL CORRELATION OF PALPABLE BREAST LESIONS IN A TERTIARY CARE CENTRE – A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The necessity of conducting this study should be well described. Why was this method chosen as the gold standard, and in previous studies, what was the sensitivity and specificity of each individually and in total? The study design is completely unclear. What is this study design? Who entered the study? Describe each assessment (checklist, clinical examination, mamography and FNAC.). Has written informed consent been obtained? Analysis method and evaluation indicators should be mentioned with the formula (sensitivity, etc.)</p> <p>The discussion is very weak and irrelevant. This study is not a case series, but this study was conducted with the aim of calculating the sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive value of a diagnostic method. So you need to have a general edit of the text. Discuss the importance of the study findings.</p> <p>Select Key words based on Mesh words</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Separate result and discussion.</p> <p>Select Key words based on Mesh words Write "Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC)" in abstract line 5. Use International Unit instead of 1lakh Use FNAC Unit instead of "Fine needle aspiration cytology" in introduction paragraph 3.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leila Molaeipour
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran