

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75807
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparativestudy to assess the placental weight in normal mothers and anaemic mothers during intranatal period in selected hospitals.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is a very well-designed article, with just minor typewriting errs and stylish inconsistencies. I wrote my suggestions in the text, highlighted in yellow or in red.</p> <p>Please, be consistent with non-American (anaemia, anaemic, foetus, foetal) or American spelling (anemia, anemic, fetus, fetal).</p> <p>The references' numbers are not consistent. I did not format them.</p> <p>The abbreviation of "litre" is "L", not "l". Be consistent with measure units: g/dL or g/mL.</p> <p>Define "AVBRH"</p> <p>The concept of "normal" was not fully approached by the authors. There are a lot of diseases and conditions that may interfere in the placental weight, and they were not properly described or studied. It will be more scientifically accurate to compare anaemic versus non-anaemic mothers. I change the main text, but I left the changing of the figures to the authors.</p> <p>Please find attached the main text with the corrections.</p> <p>Deserves publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Celso Eduardo Olivier</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Instituto Alergoimuno de Americana (Americana's Allergy and Immunology Institute), Brazil</i>