

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75793
Title of the Manuscript:	To assess the effectiveness of video-assisted teaching on knowledge regarding male breast cancer among adult males
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript is interesting also because it concerns an important field in health care that is the education and its effects on prevention and early detection, a great issue in cancer and especially in male breast cancer. Actually, the work performed is quite simple but I think it's appropriately performed. However I think it is necessary to add more information on the material projected/discussed with the participants. Have all the aspects of male breast cancer have been explored sufficiently and correctly (environmental factors, genetic predisposition, etc.)? Moreover, it is necessary to add, at least in the Supplementary Files, the questionnaire filled in by the participants, to understand the level of knowledge transmitted to participants.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	I would discuss more in detail the significant p-values adding possible explanations.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Gianluca Tedaldi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Istituto Romagnolo per lo Studio e la Cura dei Tumori (IRST) IRCCS, Italy</b>