

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75780
Title of the Manuscript:	A 2 Years Girls with Nephroblastoma: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The introduction to the case report is very complex and lengthy. Where Wilm's tumor first occurs, nephroblastoma should be described first. The introduction should explain the purpose of the case report. Case descriptions are sufficient. However, the discussion part is rather meager. It should be further supported by literature. The difference or similarity of this case compared to other cases should be discussed. In the conclusion part, emphasis should be placed on imaging features or treatment methods in the case. The title of the report is too general. The title should be made more striking. Revision is required in the English version of the article.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guldane Magat
Department, University & Country	Necmettin Erbakan University, Turkey