

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75579
Title of the Manuscript:	ADDITION OF COGNITIVE BEHAVIORAL THERAPY WITH MOTOR CONTROL EXERCISES ON PAIN AND FUNCTION IN ELDERLY INDIVIDUALS WITH CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An online plagiarism checker shows a significant plagiarism in the text, therefore the author need to make sure that the work is original and fresh. • Need for proper editing of the manuscript because there are lots of grammatical, spelling and punctuation, choice of words errors. • Need to adjust the tone of writing. • A proof reader is needed to improve the write up. 	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proper citation and references are needed in the manuscript • The author should comply with the style of reference of the journal. • Provide a reference to the protocols that described the set of instructions given to cognitive behavioural group. • The author should be consistent in the type of design used for the bar chart • 2-D bar chart is more appropriate and error bars should be included • Include captions that gives a summary of the information of the chart. 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a good study but the manuscript should be properly written	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• There is need to state the research ethics committee that gave the approval of the study and possibly reference number.• State the type of consent obtained from the participants	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dare, Samuel Sunday
Department, University & Country	Kabale University, Uganda