

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75509
Title of the Manuscript:	A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY ON KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND PERCEPTION OF UTERINE FIBROIDS AMONG WOMEN WITH FIBROIDS ATTENDING A TERTIARY HEALTH CARE CENTRE IN CHENNAI
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please check the duration of study. It is reported as 6 months however time period is mentioned from Jan2021 to Mar 2021.</p> <p>Data in Table 1 (Occupational status) and Table 2 (fibroids may lead to puerperal sepsis) is to be revised for correctness of numbers.</p> <p>Check the content of Table 6 to see if the score is correct. There are 5 questions and score is mentioned as 6.</p> <p>Response to one question is missing in Table 8 as compared to table 9. Details to be added in Table 8</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please provide details on how the questionnaire used in this study was formulated and tested</p> <p>It will be better to present the clinical symptoms as bar chart or Venn diagram instead of pie-chart as more than one symptom may be present in an individual. Alternatively, if you intended to imply that the pie chart distributions is the major symptom presented in an individual, please state so explicitly.</p> <p>Request to check for the comparisons mentioned in the discussion section as the variables in current and cited study are different.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Although the overall study details presented are clear, the language and grammatical aspects of the manuscript can be improved upon. For example, utilize alternatives to emotive words such as 'astounding'.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Navneet Sonawane</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Matoshree Kidney Stone Centre &amp; UroNephro Clinic, India</b>