

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75486
Title of the Manuscript:	To study the effectiveness of vacuum assisted closure therapy in the healing of chronic diabetic foot ulcer.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - How was the control group treated? Which coverage was used to compare to the vacuum dressing. - Was the age group not one of the inclusion factors? Establish this reference in the methodology. - Were the wounds similar to each other? Was there a significant difference between the groups? - Identify the acronyms in Table 1 and 2 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - wouldn't the use of a vacuum dressing be more cost-effective than other types of dressings? address this topic in the discussion -In the methodology, reference is made that blood tests were performed on all participants. It would be interesting to condense these data in a table so that you can better support your discussion, bringing a new perspective to the debate. -It is necessary to add the approval number of the human ethics committee in the methodology, since this is a research with direct intervention in humans. 	
Optional/General comments	Study with scientific relevance, however requiring adjustments to be considered adequate.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> The study must mandatorily present the number of the research ethics committee, due to the intervention carried out in humans. I advise attaching the approval of the ethics committee or making reference to the work methodology.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lethicia Barreto Brandão
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal do Amapá-Macapá, Brazil