

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75485
Title of the Manuscript:	Breast cancer screening awareness, practice and knowledge among women attending OP in tertiary care centre
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript has a very relevant theme, since it addresses a topic that is so relevant to public health. However, some suggestions are listed below for the purpose of improving the manuscript.</p> <p>1 Title: Do not use acronym in the title;</p> <p>2 Summary: Improve the results section. The result was very limited and leaves the conclusion contradictory. Perhaps addressing the percentages that show the participants' lack of awareness would be more appealing.</p> <p>3 Keywords: Exchange "awareness" for another term that increases the offer during the thematic search.</p> <p>4 Introduction: Update references, there are more recent similar citations.</p> <p>5 Methodology:</p> <p>5.1 Putting the country where Saveetha Medical College is located, this prevents the reader from leaving the manuscript in search of this information.</p> <p>5.2 What does the acronym OP mean?</p> <p>5.3 Was the study authorized by any ethics committee? If so, make it explicit and place the numbering of the release protocol for the study.</p> <p>5.4 Did participants give written consent?</p> <p>6 Results: It would be more interesting if the age of the participants were averaged.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Welma Emidio Da Silva</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidade Federal Rural De Pernambuco, Brazil</i>