

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75444
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinico-Radiological Profile of Mesenteric Lymphadenitis in Children admitted in a Tertiary Care Centre - A Retrospective Cohort Study
Type of the Article	Retrospective observational study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Methodology:</b> Why were the children divided into three groups? What came out of dividing them into groups?</p> <p>You said you have collected socio-economic status and examination findings. Please furnish those findings as well.</p> <p>Ultrasound findings- location, number and size of what were measured.</p> <p>Since this study is from a medical college, I would assume that patients may have come at various times of a day- Were all ultrasound examinations performed by a single radiographer?</p> <p>It was said that AP diameter of the largest mesenteric node was recorded for each child. Do you routinely look for nodes and measure their diameter in all paediatric cases with abdominal pain?</p> <p>You said data is presented in mean+- SD. I don't see any data presented as such. You also said students t- test were performed. Please guide me to where I can find them.</p> <p><b>Results:</b> Median age 8 years. Is 6-10 years, range or interquartile range?</p> <p>Table 1 just shows age in column and sex in row. Are those the only demographics you can furnish? As you have collected clinical data (age, sex, socio-economic status, symptomatology, and examination findings) please publish them all in demographics.</p> <p>You commented about the range of diameter- was it present in all cases? was it different in male vs female? Was there a difference in diameter between cases with Mesenteric lymphadenitis vs appendicitis vs cystitis?</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> If the results of this study are just the same as other studies mentioned in Discussion, why should this study be published?</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> You say that mesenteric lymphadenitis is self-limiting, but you have never mentioned what happens to the 18 patients with lymphadenitis in this study. How can you conclude that no intervention is required when nothing about management was mentioned in results or discussion? On what basis are you CONCLUDING?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Second paragraph in the introduction is unnecessary.	

## Review Form 1.6

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>First paragraph of Discussion is not necessary. What has first world war got to do with mesenteric adenitis?</p> <p>This is a descriptive study about abdominal pain in paediatric population. The title might as well be changed to "Clinico-Radiological Profile of ABDOMINAL PAIN in Children admitted in a Tertiary Care Centre - A Retrospective Cohort study"</p> <p>If this study is about mesenteric lymphadenitis, please conduct statistical analysis which compare cystitis, colitis, appendicitis with mesenteric lymphadenitis. You look for the differences in age, sex, socioeconomic status, fever, dysuria, diarrhoea, lymph nodes among these groups. Then publish findings specific for mesenteric lymphadenitis.</p>	
----------------------------------	---	--

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sai Rithin Punjala</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Apollo Hospitals, India</b>