

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75413
Title of the Manuscript:	Pleomorphic Adenoma of Neck: Case Report
Type of the Article	Case report

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewers comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ <b>The subject is potentially suitable for publication</b></li> <li>▪ <b>The title is fairly appropriate for the content of manuscript but can be adjusted</b> Suggested title: Huge submandibular gland pleomorphic Adenoma mimicking upper air way track tumour.</li> <li>▪ <b>The abstract, follows the required pattern. However it can still be better by addition of some few important but concise review of literatures on the gross and histopathology features of salivary glands, Pleomorphic Adenoma and differential diagnosis. This will make it representative of the manuscript.</b></li> <li>▪ <b>The key words seems good but can better by addition of the suggested: Submandibular gland and surgical excision.</b></li> <li>▪ <b>Introduction:</b> the objective of the study is just fairly defined, however its good. The available literature utilize here were too few on an important subject like this, a rare presentation, however it can better and quality by Addition of some few relevant but concise literature review on gross and histopathology features,; diagnostics modalities of Pleomorphic Adenoma of the salivary gland and the likely differential diagnosis of Pleomorphic Adenoma.</li> <li>▪ <b>Case report :</b> Good outline, however, to make it more authentic and highly rated some other important management protocol carried out on the patient in arriving at the definitive diagnosis need to be included. Like: Ultra sound scanning of the neck and salivary gland also histopathological finding/report of the completely excise tumour specimen need to be stated and added with the pictorial figure of the histology slides to authenticate the diagnosis.</li> <li>▪ <b>Discussion:</b> fairly good, the length of the discussion is too short and the write-up under the subtitle is suggested to move to under the discussion. Addition of some vital information on the management protocol offered this index case needed to be stated. Addition of other relevant literature review on Pleomorphic Adenoma, submandibular gland pathology that can mimic other differential diagnosis of upper neck tumour as these will make this manuscript highly rated also importance of final histological (tissue) diagnosis of the excise tumour needed to be stated to make the study more authentic thus justifying this case report.</li> <li>▪ <b>Conclusion:</b> Seems good and fairly okay. However, can be made better by addition of relevant findings from the management of this index case, to justify this study and it publication.</li> <li>▪ <b>Bibliography/Reference :</b> Very good and it follows the appropriate pattern and recent but however the numbers are too few need to add more. <b>Note:</b> Number 3 was noted cited.</li> <li>▪ <b>Legend of Figure:</b> Accurate and excellent.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The study support the perspective of rare presentation of submandibular gland pleomorphic Adenoma</li> <li>▪ Good organization of content.</li> <li>▪ Appropriate spacing format.</li> <li>▪ Excellent pictures and well represented in figures. However there is no mention and pictorial representation the final histological slide of the completely excised right submandibular gland tumour</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.6**

<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ This study is good and shall contribute to the body of knowledge on the subject if eventually published</li><li>▪ The study will help to widen the scope of the differential diagnosis list of the upper air way obstruction/tumour and for the clinician always consider the possibility of submandibular tumour when face with such cases/presentations</li></ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Adebisi Paul Eletta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal Medical Centre, West Africa</b>