

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75248
Title of the Manuscript:	“Comparative evaluation of the amount of sorption and solubility seen in soft liner with herbal and commercially available denture cleanser - an invitro study”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This original research article was presented in a systematic well organized way which may be published in a national/international journal after gross correction such as</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Title of the study required to changed</li> <li>2. Abstract section required some major correction in the study type like if this was a comparative evaluation how it could be cross sectional study and also in the result portion</li> <li>3. Introduction section required some correction with elaborate literature review and changing the reference style like superscript pattern like <sup>2,3</sup></li> <li>4. Methods section much be presented with study type, sampling technique, sampling size, location of the study, data collection methods, ethical clearance, sterilization etc which was missing</li> <li>5. Procedural section can be given in a separate heading not in the methodology section</li> <li>6. Result section must be presented with only different graphical style not same data with different diagram</li> <li>7. Discussion section required more literature review with comparison of the study result finding</li> <li>8. Recommendation and authors contribution section was significantly missing</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Some minor correction can be performed such as in the key words section need to change the sentence text with more key words like Neem, Clinsodent group, Prosthodontics Department etc	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ashek Elahi Noor</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Dhaka, Bangladesh</b>