

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75177
Title of the Manuscript:	Patient satisfaction scoring after pre Anesthesia evaluation
Type of the Article	Research article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The study didn't indicate whether the study was ethically approved by authors institution</li> <li>2. The sample size for the study after excluding those who were discharged before 24 hours were 120, but the sociodemographic description indicated there were a total of 70 participants, please clarify?</li> <li>3. As described in the method section, the authors measured satisfaction level after surgery, but didn't tell us what satisfaction tool they used. Besides from the description of age of participants, we can see that the minimum age of participants was 12 years, did the authors used the same tool for both adult and pediatric?</li> <li>4. From the result section we can learn that the check list the authors used was to check whether preoperative care was performed well or not. So what makes this study different from audit on preoperative care?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are editorial issues to be addressed, please' proof read the manuscript	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Patient satisfaction is one major factor that determine patient outcome after surgery, hence this study has great implication to improve clinical outcome. But I have concerns to be addressed before proceeding to publication.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Yes, no ethical approval statement</p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zewditu Abdissa Denu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Of Gondar, Ethiopia</b>