

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75177
Title of the Manuscript:	Patient satisfaction scoring after pre Anesthesia evaluation
Type of the Article	Statistical survey article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need control group without any pre information to compare with the positive group. • Is there any complication during the operation and what is the intervention by the anaesthetist or the surgeon • Did the patient know the complication of the anaesthetics protocol and its solution • The statistical analysis must include the complicated case and the ratio of the negative and positive case • Is the female person pregnant or not pregnant • There is no referring to any references in the introduction or discussion ,which may be by numbering inside the article and written complete in the reference chapter 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In what country and town the research down • Type of the statistical analysis • It is better to record the surgical operation 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Space before each paragraph • The statistical analysis need more information and details 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> This article depend on the information and direct dialog between the anaesthetist and the patient without any surgical intervention	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Humam H. Nazht</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>University Of Baghdad, Iraq</i>