

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75023
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge and awareness about obesity complications in pregnancy among reproductive women
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please revise carefully the manuscript for stylistic, orthographic and punctuation mistakes.</p> <p>Also, we should draw attention to the type of study used in the research. The author states that there was applied a "<i>retrospective cross-sectional study</i>", which seems to be an inaccurate formulation, because cross-sectional studies, as a research method, do not refer to the past or in perspective. They analyze the presence of a situation in a population at a certain time. From the manuscript, we notice that it can be qualified as a cross-sectional study. Therefore, we suggest the author to correct the formulation of the study type in the Methodology section.</p> <p>In the "Discussions" section (second paragraph), the author, without indicating the bibliographic reference, reports on the importance of mass media, online sources of information with the substantial contribution on and women's awareness and information. Neither the results of author's own research include data on the source of information for women, regarding the risks of obesity in pregnancy. Therefore, it may be appropriate for author to revise (make a reference to an own result or bibliographic source), either to reconsider the need to include this paragraph/ issue in the manuscript.</p> <p>The conclusions presented in the manuscript do not fully reflect the results of the research, which, was aimed to assess women's knowledge about the complications of pregnancy with obesity, as well as the awareness of possible complications, as proposed in the research methodology and Introduction. Therefore, we recommend to revise the conclusions and adjust them to the results of the research obtained, without deviations that are not supported by concrete results. Moreover, in the Conclusions, but also in the Results, the author mentions about a "<i>previous</i>" study, without presenting the results or making a bibliographical reference to this study, in order to correlate a comparison with the present study. For this reason, please review the references and comparisons with this previous study or exclude the formulations about it.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract does not contain the method applied in this study. Although we can find later in the Methodology section about the questionnaire and the cross-sectional study, in the Abstract/ Method paragraph, the author mentions: "Method: data was collected from 150 patients visiting obstetrics and gynaecology department in a tertiary care hospital.". As we can observe, this is not relevant in terms of methodology. Please revise this paragraph in Abstract and clearly indicate the type of study and methods applied to collect these data (Cross-sectional study, questionnaire, with a short relevant description of it).</p> <p>Also, in the Abstract the Author omitted to formulate the goal of the study. We can suppose it later, as the author writes in the Introduction: "<i>Hence this study is done to assess the knowledge and awareness about complications of obesity in pregnancy among reproductive woman in a tertiary care Hospital.</i>". However, we recommend to formulate clearly the goal and include it in the Abstract and also revise the above paragraph about the goal in the Introduction section.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Please revise the design of the charts, in order to improve their understanding (for example, chart Nr.3). Use a certain size of letters/ fonts and set of colours.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iurie Dondiuc
Department, University & Country	Nicolae Testemitanu State University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Republic of Moldova