

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74990
Title of the Manuscript:	Title of Article: kidney function test for screening of the kidney disease among hypertensive patients.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript needs an English edition. 2. The definitions of kidney failure and kidney disease need to be better defined. 3. References must be cited in the text in order. 4. Indicate which tests will be performed on blood and urine in the methodology section. 5. The text must be standardized according to the journal's format. 6. The discussion needs to be more cohesive and present previous data that justify carrying out the proposed study. 7. The conclusion should state what to expect from the results based on what was discussed. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Some doubts:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is hypertension an inclusion criterion? 2. Wouldn't having other comorbidities be an exclusion criterion? 3. Is there any risk to patients? 4. Is "Name" a demographic data? Does the TCLE not provide for the confidentiality of the patient's identity? 	
Optional/General comments	<p>This interesting manuscript presents a study protocol for screening kidney disease in hypertensive patients. The objectives are to assess renal function with serum creatinine, serum urea nitrogen and serum uric acid in hypertensive patients; determine the prevalence of kidney disease among hypertensive patients; associate demographic variables to the selected renal function test in hypertensive patients. The objective of the study is pertinent; however, the study protocol needs to be better elaborated. After this, it can be accepted for publication. Suggestion: Consider expanding demographic data (occupation, marital status, education level, ethnicity, and BMI). This could further enrich the study.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> This study protocol will be submitted to the competent ethics committee.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Barbara Bruna Abreu Castro
Department, University & Country	Federal University Of Juiz De Fora (UFJF), Brazil