

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74980
Title of the Manuscript:	Parents experience among fathers of premature children.
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title: Is it in the scope of the journal?</b>  <b>Introduction:</b> 1. Why did the author separate subtitle "Background of the study" from introduction which should be only the additional paragraph?            2. Please give the reason why study in fathers, actually mothers play more roles in rearing babies.  <b>NEED FOR THE STUDY</b> : Should be "<b>Objectives</b>" and should be stated clearly.  <b>METHODOLOGY:</b> Should have more details such as site of study, population, sample to be studied (where is the place to recruit subjects in the community or hospital?), sampling technique, duration of the study, etc. Details (topic,etc) of focus group discussion, how long, how many times should be added. <b>Inclusion criteria&amp; Exclusion criteria:</b> should be revised.  <b>Expected outcomes/Results:</b> should be according to objectives and details of focus group discussion.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Study was approved by the institutional Ethics Committee (letter no- DMIMS (DU)/IEC/2021/292) and the study will be conducted with the ethical guidelines by institutional Ethics Committee on Human research.	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chutima Sirikulchayanonta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rangsit University, Thailand</b>