

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74861
Title of the Manuscript:	To assess the effectiveness of psychoeducation intervention on reducing the burden of caring of alcoholic dependence syndrome patients among family caregivers
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Introduction poorly justifies the problem under study and no mention of the gaps in knowledge about the same. Author should try to concise the matter & avoid unnecessary repetition of the facts already mentioned. 2. The matter given in introduction has been repeated in the Background section, it is advised to either meagre both section focussing on important details and skipping the irrelevant ones. 3. Need of the study not clearly defined 4. Objectives of the study not clearly defined 5. Objective number 2, 3, 4 are same. Why they are repeatedly mentioned? 6. Justify exclusion criteria 7. Methodology is poorly described, need many corrections. (Explain point wise about study design , study population , sample size, sampling procedure , control & experimental group, randomization, criteria for randomization , inclusion & exclusion criteria etc.) 8. Please mention about the data collection tools used. Are the tools used validated? 9. Author needs to use proper statistical tools for confirming the association. (Use Logistic Regression analysis) 10. Poorly framed , need major corrections and re-framing of the protocol again. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author need to write the study protocol in understandable English with minimal grammatical errors. 2. What is the significance of TABLE no. 1? 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Clearly explain the study design (why have you chosen an experimental design) 2. Explain about the Modified Burden Assessment Scale (what is it, is the same scale used before or is validated by the author , which variables are assessed by it and how?) 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shikha Sharma
Department, University & Country	Rajasthan University Of Health Sciences , India