

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74799
Title of the Manuscript:	Autologous Intrauterine Platelet Rich Plasma Versus G-CsfInstillation For Improvement Of Endometrial Growth And Vascularity In Recurrent In Vitro Fertilization Failure.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This is an interesting study.</p> <p>However, the author needs to explain more regarding PRP and GCSF. What are the differences between the two and what are their advantages and disadvantages? Basically, why is the author comparing these two substances in the first place? The author also needs to put in more literature review regarding these substances and their effects based on previous studies.</p> <p>Another important factor that affects implantation and pregnancy rate is the embryo quality. The author did not document on the embryo quality between the two groups.</p> <p>Author needs to explain more regarding the classification used to grade endometrial vascularity. What was the ultrasound frequency used and how was operator bias minimised?</p> <p>Did all the subjects receive the same dose of oestradiol during endometrial preparation?</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There were grammatical and writing error throughout the paper ie capital letters in the middle of the sentence and starting a new sentence with small letters. I strongly suggest the paper is sent for proof reading before resubmission.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nugelis Razali
Department, University & Country	University Malaya, Malaysia