

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74780
Title of the Manuscript:	CASE REPORT ON GASTRIC CARCINOMA
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Is Figure 1 created by the authors? If so, this should be stated, if not then this should be cited as to where it was obtained from -Please describe the pain in the lower limb, and what workup was done, was this related to the gastric cancer and if so how, or what other workup was done to exclude other etiologies of this lower extremity pain? -What type of chemotherapy and radiation were given to this patient? -Did the patient have follow up scans after treatment? If so, what did they show? -Discussion should be significantly expanded, it is currently just a summary of the case and does not discuss any learning points/objectives/unique aspects of the case 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The word Clinical (prior to Findings) should be bolded in the abstract -Definition is mis-spelled on page 2 -Recommend expanding additional early symptoms that a patient may notice with gastric cancer -Page 2, investigation: please spell out all acronyms the first time they are used in the manuscript 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -The semantics and English language need to be significantly revised, along with capitalizations and punctuation. It is hard to follow in certain areas due to the language, although the overall message is clear <p>This manuscript has potential and importance but details of the case are not present such as the workup for the lower extremity pain and details regarding treatment/response must be added, otherwise it is hard to see the value in this case report without these important details. A discussion also needs to be created to highlight the learning points/objectives that the authors wish to convey for this case</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	William Paul Skelton
Department, University & Country	USA