

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74759
Title of the Manuscript:	Case Report on Thrombocytopenia
Type of the Article	Case study

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>I believe there is merit in a presentation and discussion of the management of thrombocytopenia in the context of this case.</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> There is a large amount of irrelevant information. There are many unexplained abbreviations, grammatical errors and awkward word choices.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Not appropriately focused or organized. Present the problem but do not wander off into details of aetiology or treatment. Just outline these components</p> <p><b>Case report:</b> Again, focus only on relevant components of this case. For example, if no splenectomy, then this option would appear in discussion, not case summary</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> Not well organized or focused. Should build off the outline presented in the introduction, expand on rationale for management of this case and include additional options for other case management.</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Neal Felming</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of California, USA</b>