

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74581
Title of the Manuscript:	Physicochemical properties of paracetamol tablets formulated using sucrose and formaldehyde cross-linked starches from maize, wheat and rice
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Authors needs to explain that already paracetamol with acceptable disintegration time , what is the need of increasing time? If do so, you need to look in to other parameters like dissolution, ADME profile too? 2. Authors already mentioned that the acceptable hardness is in the range of 4 - 8 Kgf . paracetamol tablets already falls within that range, but your work gives 8.49 to 8.93 min & 9.13 to 9.51 means it is above the acceptable limit, how it is possible, can you justify? 3. In the results, modified rice starch had the highest hardness of 6.37 Kgf , you mean to say that cross linked one has high hardness, if so, disintegration time will take more know, then what is the use of this work? Justify? 4. In the title, you mentioned Physicochemical properties of paracetamol tablets, what are the parameters performed? Did you perform any other work related to physical chemical properties? 5. In the conclusion, you mentioned that over 90% of the drug released within 40 min , can you show dissolution data? 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Senthilraja
Department, University & Country	The Tamil Nadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, India