

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74450
Title of the Manuscript:	RESPIRATORY RESPONSES TO SHORT TERM SUSTAINED ISOMETRIC MUSCLE CONTRACTION AMONG UNDERGRADUATES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Selection of subject is of practical utility and very important and useful for chronic respiratory disease sufferers. But the script needs some refinement ---</p> <p>Introduction : Hypothesis should be stated more clearly.</p> <p>Methodology : require more details – Regarding details of sample size calculation.</p> <p>Type of study?</p> <p>Exclusion criteria comes from the inclusion criteria i.e. what you include in your study from that only you can exclude, which in this case cannot be mentioned clearly because the chronic respiratory illness patients are not considered for the study and study participants are included using convenient sampling.</p> <p>Exercise performed under supervision or not is not clear.</p> <p>Which SPSS version was used should be mentioned.</p> <p>Discussion : Studies mentioned should be compared to your results. If you are proving a hypothesis please mention whether it is accepted or rejected.</p> <p>Study has many grammatical and punctuation mistakes which need correction. References to be correctly mentioned and not write bibliography.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Deepika Badkur
Department, University & Country	LNCT University, India