

Review Form 1.6

2

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74446
Title of the Manuscript:	Prediction of epitope peptides against PTK gene of Acinetobacter baumannii
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The present investigation was undertaken to predict the epitope peptides from the ptk gene of Acinetobacter baumannii using a computational approach.</p> <p>Any comparative data for other - earlier studies have shown that protein content LFFSLIAQW considered as the best epitope which factor mostly influence / affect the protein-bound amino acids Add comparative study of new algorithms Validity of output ? Prediction Accuracy ?</p> <p>Add summary at last Add factor consider for this research and Application Add some figure or graph according to tables or observation Add recent year references and methodology Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript et al., i.e., etc, viz, Italic Species Name Italic Sufficient information about the study and findings Arrange references as per journal format Figure images are not clear , please add revised Figure Article recommend for further review with given corrections</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virendra Gomase
Department, University & Country	Tilak Maharashtra Vidyapeeth, India