

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74414
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE AND AWARENESS OF COVID VACCINES AMONG SENIOR CITIZENS IN CHENNAI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of the study will not match what is written in parts of the document. E.g. nothing explained about attitude in abstract, result, discussion, conclusion.....</p> <p>It is not clear how the authors get/ define the term knowledge, awareness, attitude? Introduction: The authors should make it clear about what is the gap in the literature that is filled with this study? Knowledge and acceptance of COVID-19 vaccination in the general population must be better discussed, what is the role of information campaign and mass media</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What is the contribution of the study to the literature? Because since review has been conducted regarding the title under study? if not particular for this group of individuals....this has to be clearly stated and discussed? - What are the implications of the study? <p>Result and discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Based on previous experience also in other population groups. Results of the review must be discussed in light of the further evidences present in literature. E.g. previous report showed consistently that female shows negative approach toward vaccine acceptance which contradicts with the current finding on the awareness towards the infection. In other ways older age group shows lower hesitancy for the Covid-19 acceptance. Please incorporate and expand your discussion in detail - Limits section must be improved, the authors do not analyzed difference between general health care workers and public health professionals - Figures and graphs lacks clarity <p>Conclusion - must be improved according to the above mentioned comments</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term covid should be replaced with COVID-19 in all parts of the document. • Pie-charts which was not appropriate to use for morethan two variable outcome, particularly for the second Figure. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>I am grateful for the opportunity to review this paper. COVID-19 is an ongoing pandemic that has resulted in global health, economic and social crises. Besides this, authors must incorporate the above stated comments to incese the scientific quality of the paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammedamin Hajure Jarso
Department, University & Country	Mettu University, Ethiopia