

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74405
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF LUNG FUNCTIONS BETWEEN AC AND NON AC USERS AMONG STUDENT POPULATION
Type of the Article	Original article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.The sample size of 20 is too small to draw any conclusion</li> <li>2. The pulmonary function tests in males and females vary hence the results for males and females need to be separated and statistical analysis too</li> <li>3. what is the criteria for division of the subjects into AC users and non AC users- the duration of usage of AC's among the AC users is not mentioned.</li> <li>4. the inclusion and exclusion criteria should be more specific- were smokers included in the study?</li> <li>5. the methodology : how many readings were taken during pft with each subject?</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1.The authors are advised to resubmit the manuscript after doing the changes</li> <li>2. was informed consent taken from the subjects?</li> <li>3. was the study approved by institute ethics committee?</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>G. Vidya</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>AIIMS - All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>