

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74394
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF LUNG FUNCTION TEST ON STREET VENDORS OF CHENNAI REGION
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title is important public health issue but the findings are in question due to serious methodological limitations, like sampling, design.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Country should be described on title</li> <li>➤ FVC, FEV1, PEF, FEV1/FVC on abstract should be written in full form</li> <li>➤ Reference 2 &amp;3 should be included in the document</li> <li>➤ Gap (why you need this study ) is needed since there are a lot of studies in this area why you need it should be described at end of introduction part.</li> <li>➤ Why 50 why not100? Or 40? i.e sample size determination and sampling technique is not clear. Make it clear. It may be reason for being no significant difference</li> <li>➤ Study design need to be described</li> <li>➤ Vendors with not less than 5 years of work experience and population with COPD asthmatic, cardiovascular disorder are already not included this cannot be exclusion criteria</li> <li>➤ In result part what about others parameters' comparison? Eg age, sex, educational status, etc</li> <li>➤ Study area(<b>CHENNAI REGION</b>) need to be described well because finding could not be inferred without knowing the study area( urbanization rate, asphalt condition, how far vendors located from the factories, main road,...), number of different factory in the area, .....</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ No information on Data availability, Authors contribution and Abbreviations and/or acronyms. So need to be described.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Punctuations, spacing, grammar, references ... should be modified in readable form.	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Tilahun Beyene Handiso</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia</b>