

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JPRI_74181
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary investigation on the prevalence and antibiotics susceptibility pattern of bacteria associated with the spoilage of avocado pear (<i>Persea americana</i>) sold within Abakaliki, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In this study, the authors investigated the prevalence and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacteria associated with the spoilage of avocado pear (<i>Persea americana</i>) sold in Abakaliki, Ebonyi State. To do so, they identified different bacteria species in sixteen avocado pear samples from four different markets in Abakaliki metropolis. They performed the antibiotic susceptibility by the Kirby-Bauer susceptibility method.</p> <p>The scope of this paper is in line with those of Microbiology Research Journal International and the analyses performed are scientifically sound.</p> <p>However, in my opinion the paper has some shortcoming in regards to data analyses and text. Therefore I would recommend acceptance of this paper after the authors carefully revise the points below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Poorly written paper: poor language and style, which needs a deep language improvement. I suggest that authors should focus more on the writing and make sure to check spelling and grammar and fix any typos or unclear sentences.2. Problem with references: I suggest citing more relevant and recent literature.3. Missing author information: Name, affiliation and contact information details about the contribution of each author to the manuscript are missing. I suggest completing the missing information in this regard.4. I suggest that further studies are required such as Whole genome sequencing, which may provide a support to the findings of this study.5. Results: Insufficient data and results are not robust and reliable and cannot build on it.6. Figures: No need for four figures to show the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance to different species and different antibiotics. I suggest that authors present these results in a single figure.7. Section Material and methods contain details that are not necessary to develop (exp. "Preparation of 0.5 McFarland Equivalent standard" and "Standardization of test bacteria"). I suggest simplifying this section.	

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ons Bouchami
Department, University & Country	Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal