

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74180
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Anthropometry of Hand with Wrist Extensor Strength in Normal Asymptomatic Individuals
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors described the opportunity to measure hand anthropometric aspects and the value eventually the effects on wrist extensor strength. The aim can be really interesting considering that we can translate these results on neurological patients affected by upper limb paresis.</p> <p>I believe there are many aspects should be placed in the right positions and many other should have been discussed in a more intensive way:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The statistical analysis method should be in the methods chapter 2) Results chapter is poor of evidence: the tables should have been explained more deeply 3) Discussion is poor and the author need to explain numbers and trying to translate results in clinical practice 4) The abstract needs to be completed with description of people been involved (median age, sex etc.) 5) Conclusion needs to be completed with the main idea of the authors, not just a short sentence 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Review english	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paolo Milia
Department, University & Country	University of Perugia, Italy