

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74006
Title of the Manuscript:	Safety of Sofosbuvir/Daclatasvir and Sofosbuvir/Ribavirin in Children and Adolescents with Chronic Hepatitis C
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Sample size – the sample size used in the study seems to be very low as just with 14 samples coming to conclusion on the effect of a drug seems to be unreliable.</b></p> <p><b>Study design - Why an uncontrolled study is performed in-order to read the efficacy of the drug combination? If so, how you relate the effectiveness of the drug without using a std group or control group.</b></p> <p><b>Why there is a deviation in the administration of drug combination 12 weeks of sofosbuvir/ daclatasvir and 24 weeks for sofosbuvir/ ribavirin.</b></p> <p><b>On analysing based upon the probable analysis the sample distribution between the 2 drug combinations seems to not equal which makes the result obtained from a study as questionable (statistically) - clarification required why no equal weightage is not given to it</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Table 1 - exhibiting the Standard dose values is not cited in the draft</b></p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Minor spelling mistakes are observed in the paper ( albumin as Albumen)</p>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Louis Cojandaraj. A</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lovely Professional University, India</b>