

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_72688
Title of the Manuscript:	Grip strength and pen pressure are not key contributors to handwriting difficulties in children with developmental coordination disorder in Indian context
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study could not obviously conclude whether grip strength and pen pressure are the key contributors to handwriting difficulties in DCD and typical developing children</p> <p>The period of execution of this exercise and the venue were not captured</p> <p>The number of children in the various groups were not captured separately</p> <p>On the establishment of relationship, no statistical too was mentioned</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Serious English editing attention is required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>The study was approved by an approved Institutional ethics committee (Human Resources) of the SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur with approval No. 1755/IEC/2019</p> <p>Written consent was obtained from the participants</p> <p>There was confidentiality</p>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amaeze, Fidelis Eze
Department, University & Country	University of Nigeria, Nigeria