

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_72685
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness and knowledge of developmental coordination disorder: A survey of caregivers, teachers, allied health professionals and medical professionals in India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is well-written and highlights an important and emerging research area. There are few things that should be revised or included by the authors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-Research framework and hypothesis are missing.-Future research directions should be included.-Methods, results, and data interpretation is satisfactory. <p>The Study developed a good framework, however, I believe the literature review missed some important studies. I think you can add the strongly recommend the author to incorporate the following studies in the literature review to further strengthen the research work.</p> <p>Citation should show in International database; Scopus, Web of Sciences. Please check.</p> <p>I believe after adding in literature and incorporating the suggested studies in the literature the paper should be accepted.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Supat Chupradit
Department, University & Country	Chiang Mai University, Thailand