

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71971
Title of the Manuscript:	Interpolymer complex of Chitosan and Eudragit L-100: Preparation, Characterization and drug release behavior.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. Last sentence in the result section of Abstract 'By changing the ratio of the IPEC in the tablets' is incomplete</p> <p>2. In the 5.8 section, it is written that 'The release data was plotted in kosmeyer et al's equation as log cumulative percent of drug release Vs log time, with exponent n determined using the slope of the straight line' but the equation mentioned is not in its logarithmic version.</p> <p>3. Table 3 & 4 are missing in the manuscript. Table 4 is referred in the text but not present in the paper. Table 3 is neither referred nor exist. After Table 2, there is Table 5.</p> <p>4. Table 6 is referred in the text but the table is mentioned without any heading in the manuscript</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. In introduction, interpolyelectrolyte complexes (or polyelectrolyte complexes, IPECs), IPECs stands for interpolyelectrolyte complexes not for polyelectrolyte complexes.</p> <p>2. Formatting is required throughout the manuscript. Space should be given after every full stop.</p> <p>3. More references can be included in the manuscript.</p>	
Optional/General comments	-	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meena Chakraborty
Department, University & Country	Hemchand Yadav University, India