

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71283
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF CORRELATION BETWEEN CLINICAL EXAMINATION AND INVESTIGATIONS WITH OUTCOME IN CASES OF ABDOMINAL MALIGNANCY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>What is the name of the author/authors? The Abstract should be rewritten, without whole sentences from the manuscript. The Conclusion section in the Abstract should be more impersonal. 6,7,8 and 9 paragraphs should be unite in a single one. Write the whole name for every acronym in the text and put the acronym in brackets. The markers carcinoembryonic antigen and cancer-related antigen 19-9 are used in what investigation? Colorectal cancer, gastric cancer and liver cancer rankings don't coincide along the manuscript. The rationale and the objectives should be together in the same paragraph. Put explanation and Figure and Table number under each introduced figure and table. What does Length mean in the table? Length of what? In the Discussion section please refer to your study first and then compare with others. Don't say simply that your study is correlating with others, but give some arguments and explanations. Put all the information and findings from the Conclusion section in the Discussion section and write conclusion more condensed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>English should be revised. Revise the whole text for spaces, punctuation, brackets...</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good bibliography inserts</p>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Voicu Geanina
Department, University & Country	Institutul de Biologie și Patologie Celulară Nicolae Simionescu, Romania