

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71095
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF UNDERNUTRITION AND ITS ASSOCIATED FACTORS IN TRIBAL POPULATION OF GADCHIROLI (VIDARBHA REGION)
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>ABSTRACT:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Respecting the format of the journal and not breaking down the parts.</li> <li>2) There are deficiencies in the English language.</li> <li>3) Careful spacing between words.</li> </ol> <p><b>INTRODUCTION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Careful spacing between words.</li> <li>2) What does it mean?: NFHS 5.</li> <li>3) Correct the format of tables and figures in the text. The font type is not the same.</li> <li>4) The text mentions 12 and 13 states and the tables show fewer.</li> <li>5) Describe what the acronyms stand for e.g. UNICEF</li> <li>6) Write the study objectives at the end of the introduction and not separately.</li> <li>7) The introduction shows a good content of the topic that places the reader in the interest of the study.</li> </ol> <p><b>METHOD:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Explain better how the method of drawing lots was carried out.</li> <li>2) Take care of the margins of the texts, they are not the same.</li> <li>3) It is suggested to include a sample of the questionnaire used.</li> <li>4) It is not clear if the 300 participants were only boys and girls or if they are also counting mothers.</li> <li>5) What measures were applied to the mothers? it is not clear.</li> <li>6) Malnutrition was also analyzed in mothers? It is not understood.</li> <li>7) Explain the type of statistical analysis used.</li> </ol> <p><b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) It is suggested to separate Table 3, results for children and results for parents.</li> <li>2) In Table 5, why are there two values...10 and 15.3?. Explain.</li> <li>3) Adapting the format to upper and lower case, there are several errors.</li> <li>4) To better justify the results on risk factors</li> </ol> <p><b>CONCLUSION:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The conclusions presented do not give the necessary importance to the investigation.</li> <li>2) It is suggested to expand the conclusion and present perspectives of the research work. In the conclusions, it is suggested that more realistic alternatives be proposed and not leave everything to the government, or that more in-depth studies or the benefits that can be obtained from these studies be proposed.</li> </ol> <p><b>REFERENCES:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3) References should be exactly as per the journal format, refer a recent article from the current issue.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Adapting the format to upper and lower case, there are several errors.</li> <li>2) Correct the format of tables and figures in the text.</li> <li>3) Take care of the coherence in the fonts used</li> <li>4) Attention with grammatical signs</li> </ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The article is interesting but what's next?</li> </ol>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

**Kindly see the following link:**

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

<u>Name:</u>	Carlos Hernan Herrera Mendez
<u>Department, University &amp; Country</u>	Universidad De Guanajuato, Mexico