

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71094
Title of the Manuscript:	TO STUDY VIT-D IN PULMONARY TUBERCULOSIS PATIENTS RECEIVING DOTS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article has no explained abbreviations.</p> <p>In conclusion, they write: "Future study is needed to assess the function of vit-D supplementation in the treatment and prevention of tuberculosis, as well as to determine the optimal dosage and length of vit-D intake." There might be misinformation that vit D therapy prevents infection. I suggest that authors should explain the content in more detail.</p> <p>Table 1 describes urea and creatinine before and after therapy DOTS and statistical processing does not match the text.</p> <p>Discusion: "Decreased 1-alpha hydroxylation of 25-hydroxyvitamin D and decreased serum 1, 25-dihydroxyvitamin D concentrations may result in a decrease in granulomatous pressure in patients who respond to medications" This needs to be stated and explained in more detail.</p> <p>Cheque the references once again.</p> <p>There are many grammatical errors as well as typographical ones</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Marjeta Tercelj
Department, University & Country	University Medical Centre Ljubljana, Slovenia