

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_70997
Title of the Manuscript:	ETHICS AND PROFESSIONALISM IN MEDICAL SCIENCE AND ITS IMPACT ON HEALTH CARE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The objectives of the paper are too broad and need to be well identified, also a hierarchical revision to the flow of ideas presented in the objectives/introduction needs to be reconsidered. 2- The methodology used in the paper is not clear. 3- the definition of medical ethics needs to be placed before the constitutes of medical ethics, and to be logically linked with the rest of the part 2 components. 4- A lot of variables were presented to study their impact on healthcare in the objectives part, but in the discussion/conclusion only ethics and professionalism were stated. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- A serious adjustment of capitalizing, punctuation, and verbs tenses are required. 2- Repetitions need to be removed (on account, like in this present work, ...) 3- Missing nouns (is, are...) and verbs (do, does, ...) in the text body makes meaning ambiguous. 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The theory needs to be based on a good literature review with more and recent references. 2- The paper debates an interesting topic and could be integrated more in the scopes of the journal if the author(s) involved ethics in the pharmaceutical field as well. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ferhati koudoua
Department, University & Country	Constantine 3 University, Algeria